(4)

CH/00L

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3585 /03.

PETITIONER

Krishna Kumar Sahu son of late Shri Sonsay Sahu aged 22 years, resident of Dabri-para Chantidih Bilaspur Tahsil and Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3392/03
Presented by Shri V.K. Sharma
dated 31.10.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : Chief Secretary, General Administration Department, D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.).
2. Director of Education Scheduled Tribe Development Department, D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)
3. Asstt. Commissioner S.T. Development, Bilaspur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
31.10.03
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 of the CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, WRITS ORDER AND DIRECTION

(88)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.3585 OF 2003

Petitioner	:	Krishna Kumar Sahu
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ram Kumar Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 27th of July, 2006)

The father of the petitioner Shri Sonsay Sahu, while working as Accountant in the Department of Scheduled Tribes Welfare, Bilaspur died on 3-2-1997 in harness leaving behind widow Smt. Krishnabai and two sons Krishna Kumar and Purshottam. The petitioner, being one of the dependent legal heirs of the deceased, filed an application for compassionate appointment. The said application was considered and rejected on the ground that the petitioner's father had died prior to creation of the State of Chhattisgarh, i.e., 1-11-2000. Be that as it may, State of Chhattisgarh, by Circular dated 2-2-2006 has decided to consider all the applications of the

(89)

dependent legal heirs of the deceased – employees, who died after 1-11-1997.

2. In view of the decision of the State Government, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the respondents/State for consideration of his case for compassionate appointment and if such a representation is made, the State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner according to its own Circular dated 2-2-2006 and also in view of the order dated 20-12-2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters, on its own merit, in accordance with law. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal